

PEER REVIEW EVALUATION REPORT
Title of the refereed paper:
Name and institution of the referee
Subject  1. Relevance of the subject for the history of the discipline:  Weak Satisfying Strong
2. Relevance of the topic for the specialized sector: Weak Satisfying Strong
3. Innovative contribution of the article (effectiveness and helpfulness in case of introductory or review article):  Weak Satisfying Strong
Research  1. Adequacy in outlining the methodological issue:  Weak Improvable Adequate Strong
2. Originality and qualities of the proposed results:  Weak Improvable Adequate Strong
Argumentation and Writing form:  1. Clarity, consistency and inclusivity of outline and argument:  Weak Improvable Adequate Strong
2. Writing clarity and appropriateness of language Weak Improvable Adequate Strong
Remarks:

## [1] The article can be published as it stands. [2] The article can be published with some limited corrections, as they are spelt out in the enclosed remarks. [3] The article can be published, provided the author faces and solves the problems that I have raised in my remarks. [4] The article does not seem fit for publication in *Quaderni di Studi Arabi* (briefly explain the reasons)

Signature

Overall Evaluation

Date